**Community Partnership Grant Eligibility Screening & Assessment Matrix**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name of organisation, group, or service provider** |  |
| **Project title** |  |
|  |  |
| **Eligibility Screening** |
| **Does this grant benefit a community within local government area of a FRRHSA campus?** *(one or more boxes MUST be checked)* | [ ]  Adelaide Hills Council[ ]  Alexandrina Council[ ]  Barossa Council[ ]  Berri Barmera Council[ ]  City of Onkaparinga[ ]  City of Mt Gambier[ ]  Wattle Range Council | [ ]  District Council of Karoonda East Murray[ ]  District Council of Loxton & Waikerie[ ]  District Council of Yankalilla[ ]  Kangaroo Island Council[ ]  Light Regional Council[ ]  Grant District Council[ ]  Southern Grampians Shire | [ ]  Mid Murray Council[ ]  Mount Barker Council[ ]  Murray Bridge Council[ ]  Renmark Paringa Council[ ]  Victor Harbor Council[ ]  Naracoorte Lucindale Council |
| **Applicant type** *(one box must be checked)* *If Other\* the Grant Advisory Committee must be satisfied the project meets the aims of the program and the grant is appropriate.* | [ ]  Registered community group[ ]  Non-government organisation [ ]  Education/health service provider[ ]  Other\* *(please specify)* \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  |
| **Does the request for funding relate to the following purpose/s?** *(one of more boxes MUST be checked)* | [ ]  providing access to resources and information to improve community awareness of health-related issues [ ]  providing access or opportunity for the community to make healthier choices or participate in activities with a prevention focus that delivers real health and wellbeing outcomes [ ]  purchase of communication and technology equipment [ ]  purchase of scientific or technical instruments [ ]  one off projects for a specific purpose [ ]  demonstrate sustainability beyond the funding period [ ]  to develop and strengthen communities  [ ]  to assist in reducing social disadvantage  [ ]  to assist with solutions to community problems [ ]  to ensure as many people as possible benefit from the project funded [ ]  include a financial and/or in-kind co-contribution  |
| **Does the request ask for funding toward any of the following?** *(a check mark in any box will deem the applicant ineligible)* | [ ]  debt reduction [ ]  reduction of a Australian Taxation Office debt[ ]  operational and administration costs [ ]  to support previous grant recipients who have not completed their final report requirements \*[ ]  indirect expenses and business overheads that cannot be directly tied to the approved grant project [ ]  lobbying [ ]  projects which give donations to other organisations [ ]  projects that are capable of attracting funding from other sources [ ]  funding for projects known to be in existence or funded by other funding bodies  to support applications from individuals *\*The University may consider and approve funding of an application from an organisation or body that has not met the requirements of a previous grant round where the Grant Review Panel is satisfied that the failure to meet the requirement was unavoidable, or there is otherwise a reasonable explanation. An explanation regarding any failure to meet the requirements of a previous round should be submitted with the application should the applicant wish the application to be considered further.* |
| **Is a Project Plan provided?** | [ ]  YES [ ]  NO |
| **Has the applicant signed the Grant Agreement** | [ ]  YES [ ]  NO |

|  |
| --- |
| **ASSESSMENT MATRIX** |
| **Criteria** | **Not Evident (0)** | **Evident (1)** | **Fair (2)** | **Good (3)** | **Very Good (4)** | **Excellent (5)** |
| **Evidence of project and community need.** | Unclear and/or not evident. | Evident. | Clear. | Clear, convincing, but not distinctive. | Clear, convincing, and distinctive. | Very clear. Convincing and distinctive. |
| **Project Plan.** | Not evident, unconvincing. | Evident but basic. | Some attempt to identify some key activities and locations. | Clearly identifies key activities and locations. | Clearly identifies all key activities and locations including how acknowledgement of University sponsorship will be promoted. | Very clearly identifies and expands on all key activities and locations including how acknowledgement of University sponsorship will be promoted using multiple communication methods. |
| **Number of people and region that will benefit from the project.** | Not evident, unconvincing. | Evident but not specified. | <10 people directly in limited location (i.e. 1 town).ORNo evidence of indirect benefits to the broader community. | 10 – 20 people directly in limited location (i.e. 1 town).ORLittle evidence of indirect benefits to broader community. | 20 – 50 people directly with convincing evidence of additional indirect benefits to the broader community. | >50 people directly with convincing evidence of additional indirect benefits to the broader community across multiple locations. |
| **Extent of benefit/outcome to community (effects on local and rural regional communities)** | Not evident, unconvincing. | Evident. | Some attempt to identify basic, short term benefits and outcome to the community. | Clearly identified basic, short term benefits and outcome to the community. | Clearly identifies and justifies realistic short term and long-term benefits and outcome to the community. | Very clearly identifies and effectively justifies realistic short term and long-term benefits to the community via improved health and wellbeing service delivery, community development and education. |