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Executive Summary

Access to skilled end-of-life care is particularly important for those who live in rural and remote areas in Australia given the high levels of chronic disease and higher mortality rates. However, health professionals in rural and remote areas do not always receive adequate training to provide this care due to lack of accessible education. End-of-Life Essentials (EOLE) is a government funded education project which aims to provide free peer-reviewed online education modules and implementation resources on end-of-life care to health professionals in acute hospitals in Australia. In order to understand the uptake and usage of the EOLE education modules, learners’ geographical locations and module completion data from the first year of the program were analysed according to remoteness category.

This White Paper outlines and explores the results of the retrospective data analysis conducted in June 2018. Data from learners who registered in the first year of the EOLE program was were extracted, and 4224 learners were included for data analysis. The differences in proportion of Module completion status among learners from different geographical location was tested by Column Proportions Tests.

The majority of learners were from major cities (N=2689, 63.7%), working in acute hospitals and residing in all states and territories in Australia. The proportions of Modules being fully completed (Modules 1-6) by learners from very remote areas were significantly higher than those of learners from major cities and regional areas. The proportion of learners from very remote areas who completed all six modules was significantly higher than that of learners from major cities and regional areas.

Study findings show that there is a good reach of EOLE to health professionals living in remote and very remote areas. As learners from very remote areas showed the highest proportion of module completion, it suggests the potential benefit of this important online education in providing accessible continuing end-of-life care education for health professionals residing in the most remote parts of Australia.
Introduction

Over half of people who die in Australia receive end of life care in an acute hospital. Although the importance of quality and safety of end-of-life care has been recognised, and various strategies developed to enhance care, gaps in quality end-of-life care remain. To close these gaps, The National Safety and Quality Health Service (NSQHS) Standards declared end-of-life care as an integral part of good clinical governance as evident in the development of comprehensive care standards.

Access to skilled end-of-life care is particularly important for those who live in rural and remote areas given the high levels of chronic disease and higher mortality rates experienced by people living in these locations. In Australia, given the lack of palliative care specialists in rural and remote areas, palliative care delivery often falls to general practitioners, allied health professionals and nurses. However, health professionals in rural and remote areas do not always receive adequate training to provide this care. Nurses working in many rural areas worldwide, who provide end-of-life care to patients, lack end-of-life care training and knowledge. Several studies highlight the need for/importance of end-of-life care education for health professionals in rural and remote areas.

Health professionals in rural, remote, and very remote areas share similar challenges in accessing clinically relevant education. These challenges include limited resources, accessible education, time, staff shortages, and geographic distance which often precludes face-to-face attendance at educational sessions. While a number of end-of-life care education programs have been developed and implemented specifically for rural and remote health professionals, these programs were delivered predominantly through face-to-face modalities.

Online education programs play an important role therefore, in the provision of accessible continuing education for health professionals. They are convenient for users, design is flexible, and they are suitable for various learning styles, which may appeal to learners with different learning needs. Given access to education is a significant barrier for rural health professionals to maintain current knowledge and skills, there is an imperative to understand the uptake and usage of online education programs and potential professional development benefits for health professionals from rural and remote areas.

End-of-Life Essentials (EOLE) is a government funded education project which aims to provide free peer-reviewed online education modules and implementation resources on end-of-life care to health professionals in acute hospitals in Australia. Six education modules and an associated
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toolkit, content informed by the Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health care (ACQSHC) National End-of-Life Consensus Statement, were developed with input and review of industry stakeholders.

Ongoing evaluation has been built into the education modules in order to detect changes in learner responses before and after they engage with the modules, as well as answers to tailored questions posed at the end of each module\(^1\) \(^2\). The toolkit has also led to self-reported changes in learners’ practice, improving confidence when dealing with end-of-life issues\(^3\).

In order to understand the uptake and usage of the EOLE education modules around Australia, learners’ geographical locations and module completion data from the first year of the program were analysed according to remoteness category\(^4\). Differences in nomenclature are used to describe geographic regions and we have adopted the Australian Statistical Geography Standard (ASGS) remoteness structure\(^\ast\).
Methods

Data extraction and cleaning
A retrospective data analysis was conducted in June 2018. Data from learners who registered from 24th June 2016 (the launch date of EOLE) to 30th June 2017 were extracted from the EOLE data management system for the analysis. In total, 4,384 health professionals registered for EOLE during this time. Data from learners who resided overseas (N=141), without country, state, and/or postcode information (N=16), or whose postcode was not classified to a remoteness category⁴ (N=3) were excluded from the data analysis. In total, data from 4224 learners were included for data analysis.

Data analysis
All analyses were conducted using SPSS version 25.00 (IBM). A value of \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant. The significance of differences in proportion to Module completion status (Completions are tallied when a learner clicks all the way through to the end of a module) among learners from different geographical locations was tested using Column Proportions Tests.

Ethics approval
The project received approval from the Flinders University Research Ethics Committee (Project number: 7012).
Results

Demographic characteristics of all learners

Those who completed EOLE modules included doctors, allied health professionals and nurses. As shown in Table 1, the majority of learners were from major cities (N=2689, 63.7%), worked in acute hospitals and resided in all states and territories in Australia.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of all learners

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professions/Settings</th>
<th>Total (N=4224) N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Professions/Settings</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute hospitals</td>
<td>238 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other settings</td>
<td>26 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allied health professionals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute hospitals</td>
<td>522 (12.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other settings</td>
<td>260 (6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute hospitals</td>
<td>2255 (53.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other settings</td>
<td>923 (21.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>States</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>664 (15.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIC</td>
<td>606 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QLD</td>
<td>2075 (49.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>491 (11.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>167 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAS</td>
<td>104 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT</td>
<td>16 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT</td>
<td>101 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Geographic locations</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major cities of AU</td>
<td>2689 (63.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner regional AU</td>
<td>875 (20.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer regional AU</td>
<td>524 (12.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote AU</td>
<td>70 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very remote AU</td>
<td>66 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Difference in each module completion status between learners from different geographical locations

The proportions of a Module being completed in full (Modules 1-6) by learners from very remote areas were significantly higher than those of learners from major cities and regional areas. In addition, the proportions of Module 1 and Module 2 completion by learners from remote areas were significantly higher than those of learners from major cities and regional areas (Table 2).

Table 2. Difference in each module completion status between learners from different geographical locations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module completion status</th>
<th>Geographical locations</th>
<th>Major cities</th>
<th>Inner regional</th>
<th>Outer regional</th>
<th>Remote</th>
<th>Very remote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=2689)</td>
<td>(n=875)</td>
<td>(n=524)</td>
<td>(n=70)</td>
<td>(n=66)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Module 1</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>1232 (45.8)</td>
<td>382 (43.7)</td>
<td>257 (49.0)</td>
<td>47 (67.1) ABC</td>
<td>48 (72.7) ABC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Module 2</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>668 (24.8)</td>
<td>230 (26.3)</td>
<td>151 (28.8)</td>
<td>37 (52.9) ABC</td>
<td>31 (47.0) ABC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Module 3</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>512 (19.0)</td>
<td>176 (20.1)</td>
<td>121 (23.1)</td>
<td>26 (37.1) AB</td>
<td>27 (40.9) ABC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Module 4</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>393 (14.6) B</td>
<td>95 (10.9)</td>
<td>65 (12.4)</td>
<td>14 (20.0)</td>
<td>19 (28.8) ABC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Module 5</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>263 (9.8)</td>
<td>81 (9.3)</td>
<td>54 (10.3)</td>
<td>12 (17.1)</td>
<td>18 (27.3) ABC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Module 6</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>246 (9.1)</td>
<td>77 (8.8)</td>
<td>52 (9.9)</td>
<td>12 (17.1)</td>
<td>17 (25.8) ABC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A P<0.05: compare to major cities
B P<0.05: compare to inner regional
C P<0.05: compare to outer regional
D P<0.05: compare to remote
E P<0.05: compare to very remote

Note: Module 1: Dying, a normal part of life; Module 2: Patient-centred communication & shared decision-making; Module 3: Recognising the end of life; Module 4: Planning end-of-life care-Goals of care; Module 5: Teams and continuity for the patient; Module 6: Responding to Concerns
Difference in the number of modules completed by learners from different geographical locations

Table 3 outlines that the proportion of learners from very remote areas who completed all six modules was significantly higher than that of learners from major cities and regional areas. Further, the proportion of learners from major cities and regional areas who registered with EOLE but who did not complete any module was significantly higher than that of learners from remote and very remote areas.

Table 3. Difference in the numbers of modules completed between learners from different geographical locations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module completion status</th>
<th>Geographical locations</th>
<th>Major cities (n=2689)</th>
<th>Inner regional (n=875)</th>
<th>Outer regional (n=524)</th>
<th>Remote (n=70)</th>
<th>Very remote (n=66)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 Module</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>1374 (51.1) DE</td>
<td>485 (55.4) DE</td>
<td>262 (50.0) DE</td>
<td>21 (30.0)</td>
<td>18 (27.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Module</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>600 (22.3)</td>
<td>158 (18.1)</td>
<td>104 (19.8)</td>
<td>13 (18.6)</td>
<td>16 (24.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Module</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>216 (8.0)</td>
<td>57 (6.5)</td>
<td>39 (7.4)</td>
<td>11 (15.7)</td>
<td>6 (9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Module</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>203 (7.5)</td>
<td>85 (9.7)</td>
<td>57 (10.9)</td>
<td>11 (15.7)</td>
<td>7 (10.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Module</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>38 (1.4)</td>
<td>10 (1.1)</td>
<td>10 (1.9)</td>
<td>2 (2.9)</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Module</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>27 (1.0)</td>
<td>6 (0.7)</td>
<td>5 (1.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Module</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>231 (8.6)</td>
<td>74 (8.5)</td>
<td>47 (9.0)</td>
<td>12 (17.1)</td>
<td>17 (25.8) ABC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A $P<0.05$: compare to major cities
B $P<0.05$: compare to inner regional
C $P<0.05$: compare to outer regional
D $P<0.05$: compare to remote
E $P<0.05$: compare to very remote
Discussion

EOLE registered users include doctors, nurses, and allied health professionals. They work in acute hospitals and across a range of other health settings and are from all geographic areas of Australia. Findings suggest that EOLE is an accessible and feasible way to provide comprehensive continuing end-of-life care education for health professionals anywhere in Australia. Although the original aim of EOLE was to target the learning needs of health professionals working in acute hospitals, findings from this study demonstrate that the education modules are also of value to health professionals working in other health settings. Professionals working beyond the hospital system are clearly finding that EOLE meets their learning needs, also the flexible online delivery allows for engagement across geographical locations.

Our results showed that there were significantly higher proportions of module completion of learners from very remote/remote areas compared to learners from major cities and regional areas. This is consistent with findings from a recent study, in which rural nurses demonstrated higher demand for online education than their counterparts in the urban areas of China. Health professionals working in very remote and remote areas face additional challenges in accessing education as it is challenging for them to leave their workplace to travel long distances to attend face to face education or obtain backfill while they do. As indicated by Curran et al., rural physicians cannot access a range of continuing medical education (CME) opportunities, whereas CME meetings and workshops are more accessible for urban physicians. Accessibility, and the flexible nature of online platforms and learning, might contribute to the higher usage of the EOLE modules by health professionals working in remote areas, as it may overcome some of the challenges highlighted earlier.

Findings from a qualitative study exploring factors which influence rural Australian nurses’ online learning engagement showed that improved access to education, flexibility, and cost saving were valued by nurses. The recent inclusion of rural and remote specific and focused content in EOLE, such as provision of culturally safe palliative care service delivery to indigenous people, may have influenced the higher completion proportions of remote health professionals when compared to their urban counterparts.

Online learning platforms have demonstrated benefits for health professionals in remote areas. For example, CRANAplus (the peak professional body for Australian remote health professionals working in remote areas) has developed a range of online courses (eRemote) to enable remote health professionals to update clinical skills. The benefits of eRemote have been recognised and supported by employers. However, most of the eRemote online courses include a
registration fee, and eRemote provides little end-of-life knowledge and skills training. As an evidence-based, free, online training resource, EOLE might be a valuable resource to fill this gap and help health professionals in very remote and remote areas to receive or update their end-of-life care knowledge and skills in an accessible and flexible way. Since this study was completed, we have experienced advent of the COVID-19 pandemic. This has revolutionised the way that health professionals can access online learning. In a post Covid-19 world, End-of-Life Essentials provides an invaluable mode of professional development that educates health professionals and supports Covid safe practices.

Limitations to this study include the retrospective study design and the limited analysable data from the online education learning management system. The project aimed to produce and deliver peer reviewed and evidence-based education and implementation resources with an evaluation component. As such, our primary aim was not a research study per se, but to build evaluation and investigation in a pragmatic and appropriate manner. Furthermore, as indicated by Koczwara et al., complicated evaluation components built into a free education program might discourage learners from accessing the online course.
Conclusion

Results from this retrospective study demonstrate that health professionals are willing to engage with online learning about provision of end-of-life care. EOLE is readily accessible to those health professionals living in remote and very remote areas. As learners from very remote areas showed the highest proportion of module completion, it highlights the potential benefit of this important online education in providing accessible continuing end of life care education for health professionals residing in the most remote part of Australia. This has implications for the delivery of safe and quality end-of-life care in remote areas. Further research could be considered to explore the effectiveness of EOLE education modules in improving remote health professionals’ knowledge, skills, and confidence in providing quality end-of-life care in their workplace.

It would be meaningful to examine which existing module components are of most benefit to health professionals working in remote regions, along with identification of areas that could be included in future iterations of End of Life Essentials. In a world where online meetings are becoming the norm, future research needs to also consider the impact of EOLE modules on clinical practice for all health professionals post the advent of Covid-19 irrespective of remote or rural.
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